# 

1 2	JAMES P. C. SILVESTRI, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 3603 KRYSTAL A. KEMP, ESQ.	
3	Nevada Bar No. 10908 PYATT SILVESTRI & HANLON 701 Bridger Avenue, Suite 600	
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: 702-383-6000 Facsimile: 702-477-0088	
5		
6 7	RONALD F. REMMEL, ESQ. EVANGELINE CHEUNG, ESQ. NEWTON REMMEL	
8	1451 Grant Road, P.O. Box 1059 Mountain View, CA 94042	
9	Telephone: 650-903-0500 Facsimile: 650-967-5800	
10	Attorneys for Defendants, WHIRLPOOL CORPORATION &	
11	LOWES HIW, INC.	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
14	KATHLEEN CERNETICH, individually; ) CASE NO.: 2:09-CV-01115-JCM-LRL	
15	DEIDRE TAYLOR, individually; and JUDITH ) NURSE, individually;	
16	Plaintiffs,	
17	vs. MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE	
18	SCOTT JONES, an individual; WHIRLPOOL  SCOTT JONES, an individual; WHIRLPOOL  OUNDER SEAL AN UN-REDACTED  VERSION OF WHIRLPOOL'S AND  LOWES' MOTION FOR	
19	CORPORATION, a foreign corporation; DOE  individuals LV and ROE CORPORATIONS VI-  DETERMINATION OF GOOD	
20	X; FAITH SETTLEMENT	
21	Defendants.	
22	AND ALL RELATED ACTIONS.	
23	AND ALL RELATED ACTIONS.	
24		
25	Defendants, Whirlpool Corporation ("WHIRLPOOL") and Lowes HIW, Inc. ("LOWES),	
26	by and through their counsel of record, James P.C. Silvestri, Esq., and Krystal A. Kemp, Esq., of	
27	the law firm of Pyatt, Silvestri & Hanlon, hereby request leave to file a sealed, un-redacted	
28	version of WHIRLPOOL'S and LOWES' Motion for Determination of Good Faith Settlement.	

Case 2:09-cv-01115-JCM-VCF Document 89 Filed 11/18/10 Page 2 of 6 Pursuant to FRCP 5.2 and for good cause, WHIRLPOOL and LOWES hereby request an order permitting them to file under seal an un-redacted version of their Motion for Determination of Good Faith Settlement. This motion is based on the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the pleadings and papers on file herein, and the arguments of counsel that the Court may entertain at a hearing on these matters. DATED this 12th day of November, 2010. PYATT SILVESTRI & HANLON BY: James P.C. Silvestri, Esq. Krystal A. Kemp, Esq. 700 Bridger Ave., Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 NEWTON REMMEL Ronald F. Remmel, Esq. Evangeline Cheung, Esq. 1451 Grant Road P.O. Box 1059 Mountain View, CA 94042 Attorneys for Defendants, WHIRLPOOL CORPORATION & LOWES HIW, INC.

## **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS & AUTHORITIES**

I.

#### **FACTS**

This matter involves claims for damages resulting from a fire that occurred at the Lake Mead Marina located in Boulder City, Clark County, Nevada, on or about May 22, 2008. The fire destroyed and/or damaged several boats, together with the contents of each.

26 ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27 | 1//

28 | ///

1

2

3 |

5

6 7

8

9

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

2526

27

28 /

II.

## PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On September 27, 2010, this case underwent a Settlement Conference with the honorable Magistrate Judge Lawrence Leavitt. Pro per plaintiffs, KATHLEEN CERNETICH, DEIDRE TAYLOR and JUDITH NURSE attended the Settlement Conference either in person or via telephonic appearance. Plaintiffs AMERICAN FAMILY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY and STATE FARM INSURANCE COMPANY also attended, accompanied by counsel. Claimant FEDERAL INSURANCE COMPANY was represented by legal counsel at the Settlement Conference. Defendants WHIRLPOOL and LOWES also attended the Settlement Conference via their legal counsel.

Defendant SCOTT JONES did not attend the Settlement Conference, nor did his legal counsel or a representative of his insurance company - Progressive Insurance Company.

As a result of Magistrate Judge Leavitt's assistance and the lengthy discussions of the parties, WHIRLPOOL and LOWES were able to reach confidential settlement on all of the plaintiffs' claims against them in this matter.

On November 12, 2010, WHIRLPOOL and LOWES filed a redacted version of their Motion for Determination of Good Faith Settlement.

III.

#### **ARGUMENT**

WHIRLPOOL and LOWES' Motion to File Under Seal should be granted for good cause. The parties agreed to enter confidential settlement agreements. The confidentiality of those agreements is a material term of same.

#### A. FED. R. CIV. P. 5.2

The Fed. R. Civ. P. acknowledges that there are circumstances that require court filings to be made under seal. *See*, generally, Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2. In some circumstances the parties may need the court's assistance in obtaining leave to file a document under seal. This is such a time.

///

///

### B. THE MOTION SHOULD BE FILED UNDER SEAL

There is a presumption in favor of public access to papers filed with the Court. *See, Hagestad v. Tragesser*, 49 F.3d 1430, 1434 (9th 1995). However, it is within the District Court's "supervisory power over its own records and files" to limit access to those filings. *Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 598, 98 S.Ct. 1306, 1312 (1978). Courts have limited public access to filings to insure that its records are not used: for libelous reasons, to promote public scandal, to publish details of a divorce case, or as sources of private business information. *Id.* (Internal citations omitted.)

In *Hagestad*, the Court iterated the approach that the Ninth Circuit takes in determining whether a document may be sealed: the presumption of public access may be overcome only "on the basis of articulable facts known to the court, not on the basis of unsupported hypothesis or conjecture." 49 F.3d at 1434 (citations omitted). Furthermore, the party seeking to seal court filings must "articulate compelling reasons supported by specific factual findings that outweigh the general history of access and the public policies favoring disclosure." *Clark v. Metro. Life Ins. Co.*, 2010 WL 1006723 (D. Nev. 2010), *citing Kamakana, City & County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178-79 (9th 2006) (internal citations omitted).

In this case, the redacted portions of the Motion for Determination of Good Faith Settlement all directly relate to the confidential terms of the settlement agreements reached by WHIRLPOOL and LOWES with the plaintiffs/claimants. Confidentiality of those agreements was made a material term of the Settlement Agreements.

There is good cause for the settlement amount to remain confidential. First, the parties contemplated the confidentiality of the settlement terms, including the settlement amounts, during the course of the Settlement Conference. Second, all parties with an interest in the amount of the settlement have knowledge of the amount of the settlement. Third, WHIRLPOOL and LOWES interest in protecting their business interests outweighs the minimal impact on the public policy favoring public access to documents filed with the court.

First, the parties have agreed between themselves to keep the terms of the settlement agreements confidential. The preference of the parties for confidentiality should be given

# Case 2:09-cv-01115-JCM-VCF Document 89 Filed 11/18/10 Page 5 of 6 deference by the Court. WHIRLPOOL and LOWES specifically requested the terms of the settlement agreements remain confidential due to an interest in protecting their business pursuits. Further, WHIRLPOOL and LOWES have a legitimate interest in protecting their reputation and business interests. WHIRLPOOL and LOWES believe these interests will be best protected by keeping the settlement amounts, and all other terms of the settlement agreements, confidential and protected from public disclosure. Finally, the policy of full disclosure to the public by the Court is not undermined by filing a complete copy of the Motion for Determination of Good Faith Settlement under seal. WHIRLPOOL and LOWES have already filed a redacted version of the Motion for Determination of Good Faith Settlement that is available for public viewing. IV. **CONCLUSION** Based on the foregoing, Defendants, WHIRLPOOL and LOWES, respectfully request this Honorable Court grant their Motion to File Under Seal as set forth above. DATED this 12th day of November, 2010. 15 PYATT SILVESTRI & HANLON 16 17 18 O.Kerh 19 James P.C. Silvestri, Esq. Krystal A. Kemp, Esq. 20 700 Bridger Ave., Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 **NEWTON REMMEL** Ronald F. Remmel, Esq. Evangeline Cheung, Esq. 1451 Grant Road

IT IS SO ORDERED.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 11-18-10 DATED:

25 26 27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Attorneys for Defendants, WHIRLPOOL CORPORATION & LOWES HIW, INC.

Mountain View, CA 94042

P.O. Box 1059

# Case 2:09-cv-01115-JCM-VCF Document 89 Filed 11/18/10 Page 6 of 6 **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING** This is to certify that on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of November, 2010, the undersigned electronically filed and deposited in the United States Mails at Las Vegas, Nevada, postage fully prepaid, a true and correct copy of the foregoing MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL AN UN-REDACTED VERSION OF LOWES' AND WHIRLPOOL'S MOTION FOR **DETERMINATION OF GOOD FAITH SETTLEMENT** addressed as follows: Kathleen Cernetich Judith Nurse P. O. Box 61216 1000 Keeystone Drive Boulder City, Nevada 89006 Cleveland Heights, OH 44121 Deidre Taylor 840 Bussoro Rose Drive Henderson, NV 89015 Courtesy Copy: Gilbert Hernandez, Esq. 501 West Broadway, Suite 1610 San Diego, California 92101 Attorney for Federal Insurance Co. An employee of PYATT SILVESTRI & HANLON

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28